1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	) Case No. 23 CR 546
4	٧.	)
5	ANTHONY MONTGOMERY-WILSO also known as "A.J." and	
6	"Mike City A.J.," and PR POWELL, also known as	
7	"Marley,"	) Chicago, Illinois ) February 10, 2025
8	Defenda	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - STATUS HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS M. DURKIN	
10	APPEARANCES:	
11	For the Government: MR	. MORRIS O. PASQUAL
12	AC	TING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY : MR. JARED C. JODREY
13	As	sistant United States Attorney 9 S. Dearborn Street, 5th Floor
14		icago, Illinois 60604
15		ITH A. SPIELFOGEL LAW OFFICES : MR. KEITH A. SPIELFOGEL
16	19	0 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 520 icago, Illinois 60603
17		AINE & VANZANT, LLP
18	Powell: BY	
19		anston, Illinois 60202
20		IA E. CARRIÓN, CSR, RPR, CRR, CRC ficial Court Reporter
21	Un	ited States District Court 9 S. Dearborn Street, Room 1432
22	Ch	icago, Illinois 60604 2.408.7782
23		ia_Carrion@ilnd.uscourts.gov
24	* * * * * PROCEEDINGS REPORTED BY STENOTYPE	
25	TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED USING COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION	

```
1
         (Proceedings heard in open court; defendants present:)
 2
             THE CLERK: All right. This is Case No. 23 CR 546,
 3
    United States v. Anthony Montgomery-Wilson and Preston Powell.
 4
             May I please ask the attorney present on behalf of the
    United States to state their name.
 5
 6
             MR. JODREY:
                          Good morning. Jared Jodrey on behalf of
 7
    the United States.
 8
             THE CLERK: And on behalf of --
 9
             MR. SPIELFOGEL: And -- I'm sorry.
10
             THE CLERK: On behalf of Mr. Montgomery-Wilson.
11
             MR. SPIELFOGEL: Keith Spielfogel on behalf of
12
    Mr. Wilson.
13
             THE CLERK: And on behalf of Mr. Powell.
14
             MS. BLAINE: Holly Blaine on behalf of Mr. Powell.
15
             THE COURT: All right. Good morning.
16
             We have a trial set in this case on October 14th.
17
    Some events have occurred in cases I have that have to be
18
    retried, potentially. One is on October 27th, U.S. v. Spann,
19
    which would interfere with the October 14th trial date. I have
20
    another case potentially starting August 25th, U.S. v. Lee.
21
    There's a pending motion for a new trial in that case.
                                                             The
22
    Spann case will be retried. The government conceded that he's
23
    entitled to a new trial.
24
             That interferes with our October 14th trial date.
25
    can move you up to earlier August to try what is expected to be
```

a two-week case.

I think, Emily, the dates we suggested were August --

THE CLERK: It was the weeks of -- it would be beginning August 4th and then going through August 15th.

THE COURT: All right. So we can move it up to August 4th, which may not be possible given the need for trial preparation and the schedule of defense attorneys, but I understand the government's available if we try it then.

MR. JODREY: We are.

THE COURT: Okay. The alternative is to keep the October 14th trial date and find a different judge who could try it. I -- there's no -- no right to a judge -- any particular judge in a criminal case, other than it be a district court judge, and I'm confident I can find another judge in this district or another district to try the case October 14th if it's still your intent to go forward then.

Have you had time to talk to your clients about either moving the date up or my seeking another judge on October 14th or ultimately trying it, what will have to be next year because I can't -- there's no time, given these other trials, for me to try it this year.

Have you spoken to your clients about these possibilities or do you need more time to talk about that?

MR. SPIELFOGEL: Your Honor, we'd like another period to just speak to our client about exactly what to do here.

```
1
    So -- so we spoke before entering court and we're wondering if
    the Court would be in favor of or would agree to a 30-day
 2
 3
    continuance for us to formalize exactly -- may -- maybe we'll
 4
    know more about the progress on the death penalty review that's
 5
    going on in -- in the Department of Justice, and we'd also know
    more about our position as to when we would be -- what our
 6
 7
    preference would be for trial.
 8
             THE COURT: All right. And Ms. Blaine?
 9
             MS. BLAINE: Yes, we're in agreement with that 30-day
    continuance to -- to see if we can get some more information at
10
11
    this point.
12
             THE COURT:
                         All right. How's the government on that?
13
             MR. JODREY: That's fine.
14
             THE COURT: Okay. Emily, a date in 30 days.
15
             THE CLERK:
                         Would this be for another in-person
16
    hearing, as opposed to telephone?
17
             MS. BLAINE: Yes, please.
18
             THE CLERK: Would March 6 be enough time?
19
             MS. BLAINE:
                          I will be on trial in front of
20
    Judge Seeger that week, but we could do the following week.
21
             THE CLERK:
                         Judge Durkin is going to be on trial that
22
    following week.
23
             THE COURT:
                         It may be -- well, we could --
24
             THE CLERK: Unless you wanted to do it during a lunch
25
    break.
```

1 THE COURT: Yeah, maybe we can do it over the lunch 2 hour. I've got a civil trial going on, so... 3 THE CLERK: All right. We could do, then, March 11th at 12:30. 4 5 MR. SPIELFOGEL: That's fine with Montgomery. 6 MS. BLAINE: That works for me as well. Thank you. 7 MR. JODREY: That works for the government. 8 THE COURT: All right. That'll -- with the defendants 9 appearing. 10 And you mentioned the death penalty review. Do you 11 know what's going on with that? 12 MR. SPIELFOGEL: No. All I know is the memo that came 13 out of DOJ that they have 120 days to review. And my 14 understanding is that they're not even getting any more 15 information from the local U.S. Attorney's Office, but if 16 they're going to change their decision on a no-seek, that would happen within 120 days. If I'm not accurate on that, I'm sure 17 18 the government will let you know. 19 MR. JODREY: No, that's accurate. We -- we received a 20 I believe they've seen the memo as well. That's the memo. 21 only information that -- that we have right now. 22 THE COURT: Could you send my courtroom deputy that 23 memo? 24 MR. JODREY: Will do. 25 THE COURT: I would like to see it. Yeah, I've seen

```
something similar where the government's required to seek the
 1
 2
    charge that has the highest available penalty. I don't know if
 3
    it's embedded in that --
             MR. JODREY: No, it's a separate memo that concerns
 4
    reevaluating death penalty-related decisions.
 5
 6
             THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, if you could send that to my
 7
    courtroom deputy.
 8
             MR. JODREY: Will do.
 9
             THE COURT: But we have a date set in 30 days.
10
    keep the trial date. And I think time's been excluded through
11
    October 14th. Is that correct?
12
             MR. JODREY: That's correct.
13
             THE COURT: All right. So we'll keep the trial date
14
    for now, understanding we may revisit that when you come back
15
    in 30 days.
16
             MR. SPIELFOGEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you all.
18
             MS. BLAINE: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
        (Concluded at 10:39 a.m.)
20
21
           I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of
22
    the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
23
    /s/ Elia E. Carrión
24
                                          30th of May, 2025
25
    Elia E. Carrión, CSR, RPR, CRR, CRC
    Official Court Reporter
```